

1 Dan Lawton (State Bar No. 127342)
2 dan@lawtonlaw.com (Electronic mail)
3 Joseph C. Kracht (State Bar No. 228507)
4 joe@lawtonlaw.com (Electronic mail)
5 LAWTON LAW FIRM
6 Emerald Plaza
7 402 West Broadway, Suite 1330
8 San Diego, CA 92101
9 (619) 595-1370 (Telephone)
10 (619) 595-1520 (Telefacsimile)
11 www.lawtonlaw.com

7 Justin G. Reden (State Bar No. 230068)
jreden@redenandreden.com (Electronic mail)
8 Todd J. Langford (State Bar No. 254517)
tlangford@redenandreden.com (Electronic mail)
9 REDEN & REDEN, APC
10 16885 Via Del Campo Court, Suite 320
San Diego, CA 92127
11 (619) 758-3869 (Telephone)
(800) 746-5015 (Telefacsimile) www.redenandreden.com

12 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Security5, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16
17 SECURITY5, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company,

Case No. '16CV1645 AJB JLB

18 Plaintiff,

19

20 REVOLAR, INC., a Delaware
21 corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive.

PLAINTIFF SECURITY5, LLC'S
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND
FOR PRELIMINARY AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTIONS FOR
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT
NO. 7,907,931; DEMAND FOR
TRIAL BY JURY

Defendants.

1 Plaintiff Security5, LLC (hereinafter “plaintiff” or “Security5”), pursuant
2 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), alleges upon knowledge as to itself and its own actions,
3 and upon information and belief as to all other matters, against defendants
4 Revolar, Inc. (hereinafter “defendant” or “Revolar”), and DOES 1 through 100, as
5 follows:

6 **THE PARTIES**

7 1. Security5 is a limited liability company organized and existing under
8 the laws of the State of Delaware and has as its principal place of business and is
9 doing business in the County of San Diego, State of California. Security5 is the
10 assignee of United States Patent 7,907,931 (the “931 Patent”) entitled “Portable
11 Communications Device,” which issued on March 15, 2011.

12 2. Defendant Revolar, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing
13 under the laws of the State of Delaware and has as its principal place of business
14 Denver, Colorado, and is doing business in the State of California.

15 3. Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are sued under those
16 fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are presently unknown to
17 Security5. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Security5 will
18 amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities. Security5 is
19 informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously-
20 named DOE defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences
21 referred to in this complaint and proximately caused Security5’s injuries and
22 damages.

23 4. Security5 is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at
24 all times mentioned in this complaint, each defendant was the agent of each of the
25 remaining defendants, and in doing the things alleged, was acting within the
26 course and scope of such agency and with the permission and consent of their co-
27 defendants. Each defendant has ratified and approved the actions of the
28

1 remaining defendants.

2 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3 5. This action for patent infringement arises under the patent laws of
4 the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, and under 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

5 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331,
6 1338(a).

7 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under pertinent law,
8 including, *inter alia*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and 1400(b).

9 **PATENT IN SUIT**

10 8. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set
11 forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 7.

12 9. The patent in suit is the '931 Patent. The original patent application
13 was filed as a PCT Application in Ireland on May 26, 2004. The '931 Patent
14 claims priority to IE S2003/0397 filed with the Irish Patents Office on May 26,
15 2003. On July 6, 2006, the Irish inventors then assigned the patent application to
16 Securecom Technologies Limited, an Irish company the inventors jointly owned.
17 The USPTO issued the '931 Patent on March 15, 2011.

18 10. Securecom Technologies Limited then assigned all rights in the '931
19 Patent to TLCD, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, on August 19, 2014.
20 TLCD, LLC, in turn, assigned all patent rights in the '931 Patent to the plaintiff,
21 Security5, LLC, on August 19, 2014.

22 11. Security5 holds the '931 Patent rights and is developing and
23 preparing to manufacture, sell and distribute a personal security alert device,
24 known as "My Panic Button," which embodies the patented invention.

25 12. The '931 Patent discloses and claims a portable device that indicates
26 its location to other devices using a combination of wireless technologies. In one
27 embodiment of the invention, a person can use the portable device in the form of
28

1 a wearable electronic pendant, which, in the event of an emergency, can be used
2 to summon help remotely.

3 13. The portable device works by first taking an input from the person
4 wearing it. When the person presses a button, or uses a voice command, the
5 portable device initiates a connection to another wireless telecommunications
6 device, typically a mobile phone via Bluetooth. Using that connection with the
7 mobile phone, the portable device may determine the location of the person
8 wearing it. It can do this based on location information from the mobile phone
9 (e.g., by using GPS or triangulation of mobile phone) or through some other
10 position determining circuitry or device located nearby.

11 14. The portable device, using the mobile phone connection, can then
12 send text, audio, and/or location coordinates from the person wearing it to a
13 predetermined contact or location.

THE PATENTED DEVICE

15 15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set
16 forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 14.

16. The patented device is the My Panic Button system. Security5 is
17 developing My Panic Button for manufacture and sale as a personal emergency
18 alert wearable device, which is activated by a push button. My Panic Button is
19 wirelessly connected via Bluetooth to an app on a smartphone. When activated,
20 My Panic Button will initiate text messages to a friend, contact, or emergency
21 services, along with GPS coordinates.
22

23 17. Security5 has developed and is continuing to develop its My Panic
24 Button product. My Panic Button devices have been distributed to a select group
25 of individuals who are able to activate the device to send out emergency alert text
26 messages that includes their location to selected contacts. A picture of the My
27 Panic Button device (on the left) alongside the infringing device (on the right) is

1 shown below:



16 **THE INFRINGING DEVICE**

17 18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set
forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 17.

19 19. The infringing device is referred to as the “Revolar device.” Revolar
20 manufactures and sells the Revolar device as a personal emergency alert wearable
21 device, which is activated by a push button. The wearable device is wirelessly
22 connected via Bluetooth to an app on a smartphone. When activated, the Revolar
23 device will initiate texts and phone calls to pre-selected contacts, along with GPS
24 coordinates.

25 20. The Revolar device performs the same function as the patented My
26 Panic Button pendent, in the same way, to obtain the same result:

Claim Limitation ¹	Limitation Met
<p>A portable communications device for wearing on a person for communicating a signal indicative of the location of the person to a predetermined location</p>	<p>Revolar device is a portable communications device that can be hidden within clothing, as its instructions indicate, to be paired with a mobile phone. When paired with an associated mobile phone and its button pressed, the Revolar device causes text message to be sent to another mobile phone previously specified (predetermined location). The text message includes a link to a website that shows the location of the Revolar device.</p>

¹ Only claim 1 of the '931 Patent is shown here. The Revolar device also infringes claims 2, 3, 15, 19, and 20. Claims 2 and 3 say the portable communications device includes a switch/button as the specific means of the input interface. Claim 15 includes the limitation of the device transmitting a preliminary activating signal, which is indicative of the identity of the device, among other limitations. Claims 19 and 20 limit the telecommunications terminal equipment device to a mobile phone.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wherein the communications device is operable in conjunction with a wireless enabled telecommunications terminal equipment device for communicating the signal indicative of the location of the person to a predetermined location, and	When the Revolar device button is pressed, as above, the device interacts with an associated mobile phone, causing it, without further interaction, to send a text message to another mobile phone previously specified.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the portable communications device comprises a position determining circuit for communicating with an external electronic positioning system for determining the location of the device,	The Revolar device includes a circuit (Bluetooth) that communicates with the external electronic positioning system (the associated mobile phone) for determining the location of the device.
17 18 19 20	an input interface for receiving an input signal,	The Revolar device has an input interface (a button) that receives an input signal when activated (i.e., when pressed).
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	a wireless transmitter for transmitting a signal from the device to the wireless enabled telecommunications terminal equipment device via a wireless communications link,	The Revolar device uses Bluetooth wireless communication to interact with the associated mobile phone.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	a microprocessor responsive to an input signal entered through the input interface for reading a signal indicative of the location of the device from the position determining circuit, and	The Revolar device responds to input via a microprocessor responsive to the button being engaged. The input signal itself provides a relative location via Bluetooth signal to the associated mobile phone.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for operating the wireless transmitter for transmitting an activating signal to the wireless enabled telecommunications terminal equipment device,	The Revolar device button when engaged communicates via Bluetooth to the associated mobile phone, which necessitates a wireless transmitter. This transmitter transmits an activating signal to the associated mobile phone, evidenced by the Revolar app on the associated mobile phone being activated when the Revolar device button is pressed.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the activating signal comprising a signal indicative of the identity of the device and the signal indicative of the location of the device,	Using Bluetooth protocol, once the Revolar device is paired with the associated mobile phone, the signal that activates the associated mobile phone necessarily identifies the device (i.e., the Revolar device). The signal sent over Bluetooth protocol indicates the location of the Revolar device relative to the associated mobile phone.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the activating signal being provided for activating the wireless enabled telecommunications terminal equipment device for communicating the signals indicative of the identity and location of the device to the predetermined location via a telecommunications network.	When its button is pressed, the Revolar device activates the associated mobile phone via a Bluetooth activating signal, which in turn, once activated, sends a text message to another mobile phone previously specified. That message includes the person's identity and location (via a link to a website with a map of the location of the device), all necessarily transmitted over a telecommunications network.

21. On or about September 16, 2015, Plaintiff notified Revolar of its potential infringement of the '931 Patent. To this date, Plaintiff has not received a response from Revolar.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of Patent)

(Against All Defendants)

22. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 22.

9 23. The '931 Patent issued on March 15, 2011. Its priority date is May
10 26, 2003. A true and correct copy of the '931 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit
11 1 and incorporated herein by this reference.

12 || 24. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the '931 Patent.

13 25. Revolar has literally infringed, and still is infringing, at least claims
14 1, 2, 3, 15, 19, and 20 of the '931 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for
15 sale and/or licensing products and services covered by one or more claims of the
16 '931 Patent without plaintiff's authorization or consent. These products and
17 services include, *inter alia*, Revolar's "Revolar" device and mobile software
18 application.

19 26. In the alternative, to the extent Revolar and the accused product are
20 found to not literally infringe the '931 Patent, Security5 invokes the Doctrine of
21 Equivalents. Revolar's product performs substantially the same function, in
22 substantially the same way to obtain the exact same result of each and every
23 limitation of claims 1, 2, 3, 15, 19, and 20 of the '931 patent. There is no
24 substantial difference between any element of those claims of the '931 Patent and
25 its nearest nonliteral counterpart in the accused product.

26 27. Revolar has infringed the '931 Patent, and will continue to do so
27 unless enjoined by this Court.

28. Revolar is aware of the '931 Patent and its infringement has been willful.

29. Revolar is actively inducing and/or contributing to infringement of the '931 Patent by others, including without limitation every user of the Revolar device.

30. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial and, in addition, has suffered irreparable loss and injury.

31. The acts of infringement described above are willful, deliberate and in reckless disregard of plaintiff's patent rights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

A. That all defendants, and each of them, be adjudged to have infringed the '931 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), (c), and (g);

B. That all defendants, and each of them, be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '931 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), (c), and (g);

C. That defendants, and each of them, as well as their respective officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them be preliminarily and permanently restrained and enjoined under 35 U.S.C. § 283 from directly or indirectly infringing the '931 Patent;

D. That the Court award damages to compensate Security5 for the defendants' infringement of the '931 Patent, as well as enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

E. That the Court award Security5 its attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 for defendants' willful infringements;

F. That the Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and

1 costs of suit against defendants, and award such interest and costs to Security5;

2 G. That Security5 have such other and further relief as this Court may
3 deem just and proper.

4
5 DATED: June 28, 2016

LAWTON LAW FIRM

6
7 By: s/Dan Lawton
8 DAN LAWTON
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Security5, LLC

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff Security5, LLC hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues triable by jury, specifically including, but not limited to, the infringement of United States Patent No. 7,907,931.

DATED: June 28, 2016

LAWTON LAW FIRM

By: s/Dan Lawton
DAN LAWTON
Attorneys for Plaintiff Security5,
LLC